

Name of the group being graded		

Names of team		
members		
Win tally		

Quality of the layout and presentation (1 most negative, 10 most positive)									
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

Quality and relevance of the snake scenarios in reversing development (1 most negative, 10 most									
positive)									
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

Quality a positive)	nd relevar	nce of the	ladder sce	narios in b	ooosting d	evelopme	nt (1 most	negative,	10 most
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

Quality and relevance of the chance cards (1 most negative, 10 most positive). There must be 6									
otherwis	e maximu	m score of	5.						
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

Name

The best	bit of the game was
The gam	e could have been improved a little by
	,
Overall	
score /	
40	
.0	